Case 2:14-cr-00103-GMN-VCF Document 205 Filed 03/31/15 Page 1 of 4

1	1 4. Additionally, denial of this request for continuous	nuance could result in a miscarriage of
2	2 justice.	
3	5. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time	
4	within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United	
5	States Code, Sections 3161(h)(3)(A) and (h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United	
6	States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).	
7	6. This is the second request for a continuance filed herein.	
8	DATED this 20th day of March, 2015.	
9	Un	NIEL G. BOGDEN ited States Attorney
10 11	0   /s/ Osvaldo Fumo /s	<u>s/ Amber M. Craig</u> MBER M. CRAIG
12	Counsel for Defendant Zambrano-Reves Ass	sistant United States Attorney
13	3	
14	4	
15	5	
16	6	
17	7	
18	8	
19	9	
20	0	
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
<sub>24</sub>	<sub>4</sub>	

1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	DISTRICT OF NEVADA -oOo-		
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
5	Plaintiff,	2:14-cr-103-GMN-VCF	
6	VS.	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS	
7	HECTOR FERNANDO ZAMBRANO- REYES,	<u>OF LAW, AND ORDER</u>	
8	Defendant.		
9	FINDINGS OF FACT		
10	Based upon the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the		
11	Court finds that:		
12	1. The parties are engaged in plea negotiations which may resolve the case without the need		
13	to litigate the motion, and additional time is needed for the negotiations.		
14	2. The Defendant is in custody and does not object to the continuance.		
15	3. For the reasons stated above, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of		
16	the response deadline.		
17	4. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of		
18	justice.		
19	5. The additional time requested by this s	Stipulation is excludable in computing the time	
20	within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18,		
21	United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(3)(A) and (h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18,		
22	United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).		
23	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
24	The ends of justice served by granting said	d continuance outweigh the best interest of the	

Case 2:14-cr-00103-GMN-VCF Document 205 Filed 03/31/15 Page 3 of 4

1	public and the Defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be like		
2	to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportuni		
3	within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the		
4	exercise of due diligence.		
5	The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United		
6	States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(A), (h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (h)(7)(B)(iv).		
7	<u>ORDER</u>		
8	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Government's deadline to file its response to		
9	Defendant's Motion for Relief from Prejudicial Joinder, currently scheduled for March 20, 2015, be		
10	vacated and continued to the 20th day of April, 2015.		
11	DATED this 31st day of March, 2015.		
12			
13	Contact		
14	HONORABLE CAM FERENBACH UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

Case 2:14-cr-00103-GMN-VCF Document 205 Filed 03/31/15 Page 4 of 4